Texts:


Professor’s Statement

This syllabus provides a tentative schedule for your information and planning purposes. Coverage of many subjects may require classes in addition to those specified in this syllabus. I plan to use film fragments as visual hypotheticals and supplements to the cases and materials in Dressler’s casebook. Also, I recommended strongly that you read the Notes and Questions that follow the assigned cases in Dressler’s casebook; I will base class discussion upon these questions and materials.

I hope you will share my enthusiasm for our exploration and survey of substantive criminal law. In style and substance it will be a course somewhat different from many you have had at VLS. I hope it will be an adventure for you; that, in addition to learning doctrinal criminal law, this course will engage your imagination and provide opportunities for creativity and critical thoughtfulness.

Professor Philip Meyer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSIGNMENT #</th>
<th>SUBJECT(S)</th>
<th>ASSIGNMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&amp;2</td>
<td>Course Introduction</td>
<td>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 1 – 9 and Pp. 27 – 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Casebook, Pp. 1 – 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note and Questions (Casebook, P.17-19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>State v. Ragland</strong> (Casebook, Pp. 19 – 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>People v. Superior Court</strong> (Casebook, Pp. 54 – 57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>People v. Du</strong> (Casebook, Pp.58 – 60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&amp;4</td>
<td><strong>ACTUS REUS</strong></td>
<td>Voluntary Acts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Model Penal Code, Section 2.01 (Casebook, P.1018 – 1019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>State v. Utter</strong> (Casebook, Pp. 137 – 140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note 6 (Casebook, P.141)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp.85 – 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Omissions</td>
<td><strong>People v. Beardsley</strong> (Casebook, Pp. 143 – 146)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Notes (Casebook, Pp. 146 – 149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 106 – 112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Barber v. Superior Court</strong> (Casebook, Pp. 149 – 153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Notes 2 and 3 (Casebook, P. 154)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Harm</td>
<td>Casebook, Pp. 154 – 155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5  MENS REA  

Intent  

Cordoba Hincapie (Casebook Pp. 157 – 158)  

Regina v. Cunningham (Casebook Pp. 159 – 161)  

Model Penal Code, Section 2.02  
(Casebook Pp. 1019 – 1021)  

People v. Conley (Casebook, Pp. 161 – 164)  

Understanding Criminal Law, Pp.117 – 127  

Knowledge, Recklessness, And Negligence  

Model Penal Code, Section 2.02  
(Casebook, Pp. 1019 – 1021)  


Understanding Criminal Law, P. 128 – 145  

6  MENS REA (continued)  

Strict Liability  

Model Penal Code, Section 2.05  
(Casebook, Pp. 1022 - 1023)  

Morissette v. United States  
(Casebook, Pp. 185 – 188)  

Staples v. US (Casebook, Pp. 189 – 195)  

Garnett v. State (Casebook, Pp. 198 – 204)  

Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 147 – 153  

7  MENS REA (continued)  

Mistake of Fact  

Model Penal Code, Section 2.04  
(Casebook, P. 1022)  

People v. Navarro (Casebook, Pp. 206 – 208)  

Navarro Notes 3, 4, and 5 (Pp. 209 – 210)  

Understanding Criminal Law, Pp.155 – 166
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Case/Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Mistake of Law</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(continued)</td>
<td><strong>Cheek v. United States</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Casebook, P. 222 – 226)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note 1 (P. 226)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Causation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Velazquez v. State</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Casebook, Pp. 229 – 230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 181 – 184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Cause in Fact</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Oxendine v. State</strong>, Notes 1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Casebook, Pp. 230 – 234)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 184 – 189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Proximate Cause</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>People v. Rideout</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Casebook, Pp. 236 – 241)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 189 – 198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Concurrence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>State v. Rose</strong>, Note 1 (Casebook, Pp. 250 – 252)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 193 – 202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><strong>HOMICIDE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Introduction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model Penal Code, Sections 210.0 – 210.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Casebook, Pp. 1055 – 1056)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overview (Casebook, Pp. 253 – 265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>The “Human Being”</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>People v. Eulo</strong> (Casebook, Pp. 266 – 271)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>State v. Guthrie</strong> (Casebook, Pp. 273 – 276)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>First – Degree and Second – Degree Murder</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Midgett v. State</strong> (Casebook, Pp. 277 – 280)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State v. Forrest (Casebook, Pp. 280 – 283)
Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 501 – 517
Director of Public Prosecutions v. Camplins
(Casebook, Pp. 278 – 281)
Notes 4, 5 (Casebook, Pp. 302 – 303)
Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 530 – 547

11   Unintentional Killings   People v. Moore &
People v. Knoller (Casebook, Pp. 318 – 322)
State v. Williams (Casebook, Pp. 325 – 328)
Notes 7, 9 (Casebook, Pp. 330 – 333)

12   Homicide (continued)   People v. Fuller (Casebook, Pp. 335 – 336)
The Felony Murder Rule
Roth & Sundby, The Felony Murder Rule
(Casebook, Pp. 337 – 340)
Crump & Crump, In Defense of the Felony
Murder Doctrine (Casebook, Pp. 340 – 344)
Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 517 – 530
1. The “Inherently
   Dangerous” Felon Limitation
   People v. Howard (Casebook, Pp. 346 – 351)

13   The Felony Murder Rule
     (continued)   Notes 4, 5, and 6 (Casebook, P. 352)
3. Killings in Furtherance of
   A Felony   State v. Sophophone (Casebook, Pp. 359 – 362)
   Brain Teaser (3)   Casebook, Pp. 364 – 365
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Subsection</th>
<th>Casebook References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>RAPE</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Model Penal Code, Section 213.1 (Casebook, P. 1063)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>(Casebook, Pp. 407 – 433)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>State v. Alston (Casebook, Pp. 433 – 437)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“No” (or the absence of “Yes”) as “force”? (Casebook, Pp. 438 – 440)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In the Interest of M.T.S. (Casebook, Pp. 464 – 472)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 573 – 591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAPE</td>
<td>(continued)</td>
<td>Mens Rea Commonwealth v. Lopez (Casebook, Pp. 477 – 482)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rape Shield Laws</td>
<td>State v. Herndon (Casebook, Pp. 490 – 492)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>People v. Wilhelm (Casebook, Pp. 492 – 494)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Notes 1 – 3 (Casebook, Pp. 495 – 496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 591 – 603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>THEFT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Model Penal Code, Sections 223.0 – 223.4 (Casebook, Pp.1071 – 1073)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td>Larceny</td>
<td>Lee v. State (Casebook, Pp. 962 – 963)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Away Property</td>
<td>People v. Brown (Casebook, Pp. 979 – 980)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mens Rea:  
The Intent to Steal Property  
People v. Davis (Casebook, Pp. 983 – 987)

Embezzlement  
Rex v. Bazeley (Casebook, Pp.988 – 991)

Theft by False Pretenses  
People v. Ingram (Casebook, Pp. 992 – 993)
People v. Whight (Casebook, Pp. 993 – 997)
Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 549 – 571

18  
ATTEMPTS

Introduction  
Model Penal Code, Sections 5.01, 5.05  
(Casebook, Pp. 1046 – 1048, 1050 – 1051)

The Mental State Requirement  
People v. Gentry (Casebook, Pp. 771 – 773)
United States v. Mandujano, Pp. 779 – 780

Distinguishing Preparation from Perpetration  
Commonwealth v. Peaslee  
(Casebook, Pp. 783 – 785)
People v. Rizzo (Casebook, Pp.787 – 788)
State v. Reeves (Casebook, Pp. 792 – 797)

19  
ACCOMPlice LIABILITY
An Introduction to Accomplice Liability  
State v. Ward (Casebook, Pp. 891 – 892)
State v. V.T. (Casebook, Pp. 913 – 914)
People v. Genoa (Casebook, Pp. 923 – 924)
Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 469 – 472
### SELF – DEFENSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction</strong></td>
<td>Model Penal Code 3.04 (Casebook, Pp. 1030 – 1031)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>United States v. Peterson</strong></td>
<td>(Casebook, Pp. 521 – 527)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 223 – 237</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Reasonable Belief Requirement</strong></td>
<td>People v. Goetz (Casebook, Pp. 534 – 539)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notes</strong></td>
<td>(Casebook, Pp. 539 – 548)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective and Subjective Standards</strong></td>
<td>State v. Wanrow (Casebook, Pp. 548 – 552)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 238 – 242</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SELF – DEFENSE (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Defense of Others</strong></td>
<td>Model Penal Code, Section 3.05 (Casebook, Pp. 1031 – 1032)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>People v. Kurr</strong></td>
<td>(Casebook, Pp. 575 – 578)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 257 – 259</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Battered Wife Syndrome</strong></td>
<td>State v. Norman (North Carolina Court of Appeals Opinion) (Casebook, Pp. 556 – 562)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State v. Norman</strong></td>
<td>(North Carolina Supreme Court Opinion) (Casebook, Pp. 562 – 565)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notes</strong></td>
<td>(Casebook, Pp. 565 – 572)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 242 – 248</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INTOXICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Voluntary Intoxication</strong></td>
<td>Model Penal Code, Section 2.08 (Casebook, P. 1026 – 1027)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Involuntary Intoxication

Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 319 – 333

22 NECESSITY and DURESS

Introduction

Model Penal Code, Section 2.09, 3.01 – 3.03
(Casebook, P. 1027, 1029 – 1030)


General Principals

United States v. Contento-Pachon
(Casebook, Pp. 613 – 616)

People v. Unger (Casebook, Pp. 622 – 626)

Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 287 – 317

23 INSANITY

Model Penal Code, Section 4.01 – 4.05
(Casebook, Pp. 1040 – 1042)

State v. Johnson (Casebook, Pp. 649 – 653)

Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 335 – 361

24 Appreciating the Wrongfulness Of One’s Actions

State v. Wilson (Casebook, Pp. 663 – 670)

Diminished Capacity

Clark v. Arizona (Casebook, Pp. 689 – 698)

Understanding Criminal Law, Pp. 363 – 373